## 6.35 Landslide risk – History of instability on site

Project Name: OPERANDUM (Grant Agreement no. 776848)

**Author/s and affiliations:** Slobodan B. Mickovski<sup>1</sup>, Alejandro Gonzalez-Ollauri<sup>1</sup>, Karen Munro<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Built Environment Asset Management Centre, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

History of instabilit	y on site	Natural and Climate Hazards	
Description and justification	Recording the different instability events on/adjacent to a site helps in assessing the possibility of future instability. Slopes that have historically failed are more likely to fail again.		
Definition	Failures include erosion, landslides, rockfalls, flooding or any other natural hazard		
Strengths and weaknesses	<ul> <li>+: provides a timeline and frequency of events that can be mitigated; mapping can be undertaken using historical aerial photographs; new digital mapping approaches can be used to identify zones subjected to past failures; large body of statistical models available to detect past events on the basis of rainfall intensity.</li> <li>-: qualitative measurement which may under/over estimate the true type or frequency of instability events; need for a standardised way of recording.</li> </ul>		
Measurement procedure and tool		ws focus groups with local v of local press/media articles and	
Scale of measurement	Local and regional		
Data source			
Required data	Dates of events		
Data input type	Qualitative		
Data collection frequency	Once as a baseline, spor new instability episode)	adic afterwards (to record any	
Level of expertise required	Intermediate		
Synergies with other indicators	Soil strength, Soil stabili loss), topography, rainfa	ty (factor of safety), Erosion (soil II	
Connection with SDGs	11, 13, 15, 17		
Opportunities for participatory data collection	Entirely participatory		

Additional information				
References	<ul> <li>Mickovski S.B., Santos O., Ingunza P.M.D., Bressani L.2015.</li> <li>Coastal slope instability in contrasting geo-environmental conditions. In: Geotechnical Engineering for Infrastructure and Development - Proc. XVI European Conference for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Edinburgh, Scotland, September 2015: 1801-1806.</li> </ul>			

## 6.36 Occurred landslide area

Project Name: PHUSICOS (Grant Agreement no. 776681)

**Author/s and affiliations:** Gerardo Caroppi<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlo Gerundo<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Pugliese<sup>2</sup>, Maurizio Giugni<sup>2</sup>, Marialuce Stanganelli<sup>2</sup>, Farrokh Nadim<sup>3</sup>, Amy Oen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland (gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi) <sup>2</sup> University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Occurred Landslide Area		Natural and Climate Hazards	
Description and justification	Indicators of Landslide Risk Resilience sub-criterion will assess the site response to landslide phenomena based on susceptibility indicators: slope angle, pore water pressure, groundwater depth, soil properties, land use, land cover.		
Definition	Represents the observed surface which moves downward of a mass of rock, earth, or artificial fill on a slope divided by the surface subjected to the high and medium landslide risk obtained by analytical modelling (in percentage). The main scopes of the index is to assess the effectiveness of the adopted design solution for either the entire or the partial area referred to the total risk area.		
Strengths and weaknesses	Relatively easy to estimate.		
Measurement procedure and tool	This indicator can be esobservational considerational considerational considerational considerational consideration of the second secon	stimated from both analytical and ations.	
Scale of measurement	Dimensionless, %		
Data source			
Required data	Geological and geotech (Model/Survey).	nical information, topography	