4.49 Total pollutant discharge to local waterbodies

Project Name: UNaLab (Grant Agreement no. 730052)

Author/s and affiliations: Laura Wendling¹, Ville Rinta-Hiiro¹, Maria Dubovik¹, Arto Laikari¹, Johannes Jermakka¹, Zarrin Fatima¹, Malin zu-Castell Rüdenhausen¹, Peter Roebeling², Ricardo Martins², Rita Mendonça²

¹ VTT Technical Research Centre Ltd, P.O. Box 1000 FI-02044 VTT, Finland

² CESAM – Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Total pollutant discharge to local waterbodies		Water Management
Description and justification	In the EU, all waterbodies are of based on guidelines set in the of (WFD), Directive 2000/60/EC (Council of the European Union, biological, physico-chemical an elements. Comparison of meass parameters for a given waterbod outlined in the WFD allows class waterbody from high to bad. Pa- include a large number of varia plankton counts, aquatic flora, continuity and conditions, there conditions, salinity, nutrient co- priority pollutants and other sp these parameters are waterbood determination of stress caused depends on the type and size of Parliament, Council of the Europ	classified by quality status Water Framework Directive European Parliament, 2000). The WFD outlines d hydromorphological quality sured water quality ody with standard values stification of the status of a arameters taken into account ables including, e.g., invertebrates, hydrological mal conditions, oxygen nditions and prevalence of becific pollutants. Many of dy specific and the by a pollution source of the waterbody (European opean Union, 2000).
Definition	Water quality status according pollutant discharge monitoring	to WFD as determined by
Strengths and weaknesses	 + Persistent quality monitoring is a good way of following the opollutant discharges of urban of depend heavily on the condition waterbody and the whole catch - Selecting proper sampling pro- measured variables to capture the pollution discharge loading 	of the receiving waterbody environmental impacts of the communities, but they n and size of the receiving ment area ocedures as well as a representative figure of is challenging
Measurement procedure and tool	Pollutant discharge is estimate urban runoff from the target ar series of the selected paramete selected to represent the catch as comprehensively as possible be streams, ditches or runoff s catchment area in the urban ar	d by taking samples from rea and comparing the time ers. First, sampling sites are ment urban area in question e. Ideally, sampling sites can ewers collecting from a large rea of interest, but not yet

mixing with a larger waterbody. A sampling schedule is determined and followed. Ideally, continuous automatic aggregate samplers are used with flowmeters, providing the most reliable estimates of parameter yearly aggregates. Alternate sampling method is systematic sampling in which samples are taken with identical time steps (e.g., every 2 months) regardless of conditions, like rainfall, traffic or temperature. All non-continuous sampling procedures inflict bias into results, and will only capture a fraction of the actual runoff quality, which makes results invariably noisy.

On-site measurements, sampling and laboratory analysis are to be performed by personnel and in premises with experience in water sampling and analysis using standardized methods, chemicals and equipment. For technical details, please refer to standard methods or equivalent methods available at the laboratory performing the analysis.

As the details of each urban environment and NBS can differ substantially, and as parameters described here are often only indicative of water quality, potential change in pollution discharge is presented in a Likert-type scale:

1	Several of the parameters indicate significantly worse water quality, or more than half of the parameters indicate somewhat worse water quality
2	One of the parameters indicate significantly worse water quality, or some of the parameters indicate somewhat worse water quality
3	The parameters indicate no change in the water quality
4	One of the parameters indicate significantly better water quality, or some of the parameters indicate somewhat better water quality
5	Several of the parameters indicate significantly better water quality, or more than half of the parameters indicate somewhat better water quality

Scale of measurement	District scale
Data source	
Required data	Measurement data of the parameters
Data input type	Qualitative and quantitative
Data collection frequency	Daily, weekly, monthly or annually
Level of expertise required	Low to high
Synergies with other indicators	Synergies with the other water quality indicators in the <i>Water management</i> indicator group

Connection with SDGs	SDG 13 Climate action, SDG 14 Life below water			
Opportunities for participatory data collection	Participatory data collection possible under supervision			
Additional information				
References	 Allen Burton, G., Jr., & Pitt, R.E. (2010). Stormwater Effects Handbook. A Toolbox for watershed Managers, Scientists, and Engineers. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers, CRC Press. European Parliament, Council of the European Union. (2000). EU Water Framework Directive: Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 Establishing a Framework for Community Action in the Field of Water Policy. Retrieved from http://eur- lex.europa.eu/legal- content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02000L0060-20140101 United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). (2017). Water Quality Standards Handbook: Chapter 3: Water Quality Criteria. EPA-823-B-17-001. Washington, D.C.: EPA Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014- 10/documents/handbook-chapter3.pdf Zumdahl, S.S., & DeCoste, D.J. (2012). Chemical Principles. Seventh Edition. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning. 			

4.50 Water Quality: basic physical parameters

Project Name: PHUSICOS – According to Nature (Grant Agreement no. 776681) **Author/s and affiliations:** Gerardo Caroppi^{1,2}, Carlo Gerundo², Francesco Pugliese², Maurizio Giugni², Marialuce Stanganelli², Farrokh Nadim³, Amy Oen³

¹ Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland (gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi) ² University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

³ Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Water Quality: Basic physical parameters		Water Management
Description and justification	Indicators of Effects on Water Quality sub-criterion will assess the effects of project scenarios on water quality, in terms of physical, microbiological, biological and chemical parameters.	
Definition	Physical parameters of water, t microbiological properties, dete Main quality characteristics of r	ogether with chemical and ermine the water quality. natural waters include