

(ICP-MS) — Part 2: Determination of selected elements including uranium isotopes. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.

Milik, J. & Pasela, R. (2018) Analysis of concentration trends and origins of heavy metal loads in stormwater runoff in selected cities: A review. *E3S Web of Conferences* 44, 00111.

Mohan, S.V., Nithila, P., & Reddy, J. (1996). Estimation of heavy metals in drinking water and development of heavy metal pollution index. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*. Part A: Environmental Science and Engineering and Toxicology, 31(2), 283-289.

Müller, A., Österlund, H., Marsalek, J., & Viklander, M. (2020). The pollution conveyed by urban runoff: A review of sources. *Science of The Total Environment*, 7097, 136125

3.18 Total faecal coliform bacteria

Project Name: UNaLab (Grant Agreement no. 730052) and PHUSICOS (Grant Agreement no. 776681)

Author/s and affiliations: Laura Wendling¹, Ville Rinta-Hiiri¹, Maria Dubovik¹, Arto Laikari¹, Johannes Jermakka¹, Zarrin Fatima¹, Malin zu-Castell Rüdénhausen¹, Peter Roebeling², Ricardo Martins², Rita Mendonça², Gerardo Caroppi^{3,4}, Carlo Gerundo⁴, Francesco Pugliese⁴, Maurizio Giugni⁴, Marialuce Stanganelli⁴, Vittoria Capobianco⁵, Farrokh Nadim⁵, Amy Oen⁵

¹ VTT Technical Research Centre Ltd, P.O. Box 1000 FI-02044 VTT, Finland

² CESAM – Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

³ Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland (gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi)

⁴ University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

⁵ Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Total faecal coliform bacteria in NBS effluents	Water Management
<p>Description and justification</p>	<p>Faecal coliform bacteria are a subgroup of a larger total coliform group referring to the Gram-negative, rod-shaped bacteria. Faecal coliform bacteria denote a group of thermotolerant coliform organisms, optional aerobic or anaerobic, which grow at 44 ± 0.5 °C and ferment lactose to produce acid and gas (Bartram & Pedley, 1996; Doyle & Erickson, 2006). Although coliform bacteria are easy to detect, their presence does not imply the faecal contamination due to the natural occurrence of some faecal coliform organisms of non-faecal origin. Thus, the pathogenic strains of <i>Escherichia coli</i> (<i>E. coli</i>) are usually analysed to determine the sanitary contamination of water</p>

	(ISO, 2014). Presence of faecal coliform bacteria in the natural waters may indicate the faecal contamination and degradation of the water bodies originating from diffuse sources such as urban runoff and transport from sewer overflows (Davies et al., 1995; Davies & Bavor, 2000).
Definition	Observed number of faecal coliform colony units determined by direct counting (Colony Forming Unit (CFU)/100 mL or CFU/100 g) or most probable number (MPN) methods (MPN/100 mL or MPN/g)
Strengths and weaknesses	+ Almost always implies the faecal contamination of water + Standardized methodology for analyses - Analyses require expert knowledge and judgement
Measurement procedure and tool	<p>a. Membrane filtration and direct counting</p> <p>The traditional way of evaluating the water samples for bacteria is the membrane filtration method. First, the water sample is filtered through a membrane, then the bacteria are cultured on an agar medium in a Petri dish and incubated at a specified temperature for a specified period of time depending on the type of bacteria analysed. Later, the number of the target organisms in the sample is calculated.</p> <p>The background bacterial growth may inhibit the enumeration of coliform bacteria, so this method is not deemed suitable for shallow and surface waters.</p> <p>b. Most probable number (MPN) method</p> <p>MPN is a statistical method used for enumeration of the viable target organisms by sequential inoculation and incubation in a liquid medium in ten-fold dilutions. Several assumptions must be made when using the MPN method, such as assuming the random distribution of the organisms in the sample (implying that no bacterial clustering and repelling is present), and assuming that the tubes will produce detectable growth.</p> <p>The advantages of the MPN method include the possibility for adjustment of the accuracy of the results when increasing the number of tubes per dilution, and larger sample size than in the plate count method.</p> <p>The MNP method is suitable for all types of water.</p>
Scale of measurement	Plot scale
Data source	
Required data	Microbiological analyses of water
Data input type	Quantitative

Data collection frequency	At minimum before and after NBS implementation
Level of expertise required	High – requires familiarity with the laboratory practices and expertise for conducting the microbiological analyses and evaluating the outcomes
Synergies with other indicators	Together with other <i>Water Management</i> indicators determines the overall status of water quality in an area
Connection with SDGs	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities, SDG 13 Climate action, SDG 14 Life below water
Opportunities for participatory data collection	Participatory data collection is possible under direct qualified staff supervision
Additional information	
References	<p>Bartram, J. & Pedley, S. (1996). <i>Chapter 10 – Microbiological Analyses</i>. In: Bartram, J. & Ballance, R. (Eds.). <i>Water quality monitoring: a practical guide to the design and implementation of freshwater quality studies and monitoring programmes</i>. CRC Press. Retrieved from: https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/resourcesquality/wqmchap10.pdf</p> <p>Davies, C. M., & Bavor, H. J. (2000). The fate of stormwater-associated bacteria in constructed wetland and water pollution control pond systems. <i>Journal of Applied Microbiology</i>, 89(2), 349-360.</p> <p>Davies, C. M., Long, J. A., Donald, M., & Ashbolt, N. J. (1995). Survival of fecal microorganisms in marine and freshwater sediments. <i>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</i>, 61(5), 1888-1896.</p> <p>Doyle, M. P., & Erickson, M. C. (2006). Closing the door on the fecal coliform assay. <i>Microbe</i>, 1(4), 162-163.</p> <p>International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2014). <i>International Standard ISO 9308-1:2014: Water quality – Enumeration of Escherichia coli and coliform bacteria – Part 1: Membrane filtration method for waters with low bacterial background flora</i>. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.</p> <p>International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2012). <i>International Standard ISO 9308-2: Water quality – Enumeration of Escherichia coli and coliform bacteria – Part 2: Most probable number method</i>. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.</p> <p>International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2012). <i>International Standard ISO 9308-3: Water quality – Detection and enumeration of Escherichia coli and coliform bacteria – Part 3: Miniaturized method (Most Probable Number) for the detection and enumeration of E. coli in</i></p>

surface and waste water. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.

Oblinger, J. L., & Koburger, J. A. (1975). Understanding and teaching the most probable number technique. *Journal of Milk and Food Technology*, 38(9), 540-545.