

<b>Opportunities for participatory data collection</b>	No opportunities identified
<b>Additional information</b>	
<b>References</b>	<p>Eurostat (2010) European System of National and Regional Accounts (2010), EU – may be accessed at <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/3859598/5925693/KS-02-13-269-EN.PDF/44cd9d01-bc64-40e5-bd40-d17df0c69334">https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/3859598/5925693/KS-02-13-269-EN.PDF/44cd9d01-bc64-40e5-bd40-d17df0c69334</a></p> <p>Eggermont, H., Balian, E., Azevedo, J.M.N., Beumer, V., Brodin, T., Claudet, J., Fady, B., Grube, M., Keune, H., Lamarque, P. and Reuter, K., 2015. Nature-based solutions: new influence for environmental management and research in Europe. <i>GAIA- Ecological Perspectives for Science and Society</i>, 24(4), pp.243-248.</p> <p>Stiglitz, J., Sen, A.K. and Fitoussi, J.P., 2009. The measurement of economic performance and social progress revisited: reflections and overview.</p>

## 24.5 Initial costs of NBS implementation

**Project Name:** PHUSICOS (Grant Agreement no. 776681)

**Author/s and affiliations:** Gerardo Caroppi<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlo Gerundo<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Pugliese<sup>2</sup>, Maurizio Giugni<sup>2</sup>, Marialuce Stanganelli<sup>2</sup>, Farrokh Nadim<sup>3</sup>, Amy Oen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland ([gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi](mailto:gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi))

<sup>2</sup> University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Initial Costs	New Economic Opportunities and Green Jobs
<b>Description and justification</b>	Indicators of Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Intervention sub-criterion will assess the financial feasibility of the project scenario.
<b>Definition</b>	Project's initial costs are those occurring during the design and construction phases.
<b>Strengths and weaknesses</b>	<p>+ Top-down synthetic approach could ensure rapid estimation but low accuracy;</p> <p>- Bottom-up analytical approach and parametric approach are very time-consuming.</p>
<b>Measurement procedure and tool</b>	Different methods can be used to assess initial cost and the choice among them depends on the detail of the available data and of the evaluation itself. These methods can be classified in three different approaches:

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Top-down synthetic approach: when few and generic information is available, the estimation can be carried out by analogy with existing projects or by experts opinions;</li> <li>2) Bottom-up analytical approach: when more and detailed information is available, the estimation can be carried out using the work (cost) breakdown structure;</li> <li>3) Parametric approach: the estimation is carried out by analogy with existing projects but high quality data are needed.</li> </ol>
<b>Scale of measurement</b>	€
<b>Data source</b>	
<b>Required data</b>	Parametric costs; Similar projects
<b>Data input type</b>	Quantitative
<b>Data collection frequency</b>	At the beginning of the project.
<b>Level of expertise required</b>	High
<b>Synergies with other indicators</b>	
<b>Connection with SDGs</b>	12
<b>Opportunities for participatory data collection</b>	Given the high degree of expertise needed to calculate this indicator, technical stakeholder can contribute to the provision of data needed for the estimation model implementation.
<b>Additional information</b>	
<b>References</b>	Cerezo-Narváez, A.; Pastor-Fernández, A.; Otero-Mateo, M.; Ballesteros-Pérez, P. Integration of Cost and Work Breakdown Structures in the Management of Construction Projects. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 1386.