Nowak, McPherson and Rowntree, Chicago's urban forest
ecosystem: results of the Chicago urban forest climate
project, USDA,1994
Air Pollution in the UK 2015. https://uk-
air.defra.gov.uk/library/annualreport/index
Bottalico, F., Chirici, G., Giannetti, F., De Marco, A., Nocentini, S.,
Paoletti, E., Salbitano, F., Sanesi, G., Serenelli, C.,
Travaglini, D., 2016. Air pollution removal by green
infrastructures and urban forests in the city of Florence.
Agric. Agric. Sci. Procedia 8, 243-251.
doi: 10.1016/j.aaspro.2016.02.099.
SDG indicator 3.9.1
https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/metadata/files/Metadata-03-09-
01.pdf
SDG indicator 11.6.2.
https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/metadata/files/Metadata-11-06-
02.pdf

23.1.2 Economic Value of Urban Nature Index

Project Name: Naturvation (Grant Agreement no. 730243)

Author/s and affiliations: Marija Bockarjova¹

¹ Utrecht University School of Economics, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Economic value of urban nature		New Economic Opportunities and Green Jobs
Description and justification	A score on economic value of nature that reflects its value to the urban residents, relative to other types of urban nature types. It therefore provides a relative value of one type of urban nature relative to another, within an urban setting. This means that while the economic value of nature, in monetary terms, may differ between cities, or also within cities, the relative values can be used to compared values between different NBS even though economic levels different between cities	
Definition	Relative value of one type of urban nature relative to another, within an urban setting.	
Strengths and weaknesses		
Measurement procedure and tool	Economic values are values of urban natur research studies (36 total, for references s previously performed	based on a database of monetary re from a wide range of academic published peer-reviewed studies in see (1)). This database consists of valuation studies of urban nature in

	a variety of contexts and cities, from 1976 until 2016. Statistical analysis were performed to result in the average monetary values attributed to an urban nature
	type. The values were based on economic values to citizens (their willingness to pay for a benefit) and the increase of property values from NBS (Hedonic pricing).
Scale of measurement	The obtained average monetary value per NBS were grouped into scores on the scale 1-5. A score between 1- 5 was developed based on the obtained average monetary values per urban nature type. Score 1 corresponds to monetary value of urban nature up to $\in 2.000 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$, Score 2 $\in 2.000 - \in 3.000 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$, Score 3 $\in 3.000 - \notin 4.000 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$, Score 4 $\notin 4.000 - \notin 5.000 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$.
Data source	
Required data	
Data input type	
Data collection frequency	
Level of expertise required	
Synergies with other indicators	
Connection with SDGs	
Opportunities for participatory data collection	
Additional information	ion
References	Bockarjova, M.; Botzen, W.J.W.; Koetse, Mark J. (2018) Economic Valuation of Green and Blue Nature in Cities : A Meta- Analysis. U.S.E. Working Paper series, vol.18, issue 08. Utrecht University School of Economics. https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/374925