22.14 Perceived social support

Project Name: proGIreg (Grant Agreement no. 776528)

Author/s and affiliations: Giuseppina Spano¹, Yole de Bellis¹, Giovanni Sanesi¹,

¹ Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

| Perceived social support | | Place Regeneration Health and Wellbeing | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Description and justification | Empirical evidences showed that supportive social groups and effective and helpful social networks are associated with a good mental and physical health. This indicator is measured in the neighbourhood context since a perception of high social support fosters social inclusion and justice. | | |
| Definition | Perception of various ways in which individuals aid others. | | |
| Strengths and weaknesses | Strengths: Reliable measurement tool; easy to assess. Weaknesses: Potential biases in self-reported data. | | |
| Measurement procedure and tool | This indicator is obtained using a 8-point scale on general social support and a 6-point scale on social support in the neighborhood. Participants are required to complete the scales before and after the NBS implementation. | | |
| Scale of measurement | General population in residential neighbourhoods | | |
| Data source | | | |
| Required data | Questionnaire data | | |
| Data input type | Continuous variables | | |
| Data collection frequency | Twice; once before the implementation of the nature-based solutions (baseline) and once after (follow-up). | | |
| Level of expertise required | Low | | |
| Synergies with other indicators | This indicator is related to other indicators on socio-cultural inclusiveness and to indicators on mental health. | | |
| Connection with SDGs | Good health and wellbeing Reduced inequalities Sustainable cities and communities Peace, justice and strong institutions | | |
| Opportunities for participatory data collection | The questionnaires can be both self-reported and administrable in an interview method. | | |
| Additional information | | | |

| References | Pearson, J. E. (1986). The definition and measurement of social | |
|------------|---|--|
| | support. Journal of Counseling & Development. | |

22.15 Connectedness to nature

Project Name: proGIreg (Grant Agreement no. 776528)

Author/s and affiliations: : Giuseppina Spano¹, Yole de Bellis¹, Giovanni Sanesi¹,

¹ Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

| Connectedness to | nature | Health and Wellbeing |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Description and justification | This indicator is a measure of individuals' trait levels of feeling emotionally connected to the natural world. Previous studies confirmed that connectedness to nature predicts the self-reported well-being and life satisfaction. | |
| Definition | Sense of connectedness and oneness to nature. | |
| Strengths and weaknesses | Strengths: The questionnaire is widely used in social sciences. It also provides a reliable tool to assess the relationship between human being and the natural environment. Weaknesses: Potential biases in self-reported data. | |
| Measurement procedure and tool | This indicator is obtained using a validated scale named "Connectedness to Nature Scale" (CNS - Mayer, 2004). Participants are required to complete the CNS before and after the NBS implementation. The scale includes 14 items with a 5-point Likert scale, from "Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree". | |
| Scale of measurement | General population in residential neighbourhoods. | |
| Data source | | |
| Required data | Questionnaire data | |
| Data input type | Continuous variables | |
| Data collection frequency | Twice; once before the implementation of the nature-based solutions (baseline) and once after (follow-up). | |
| Level of expertise required | Low | |
| Synergies with other indicators | This indicator is related to other indicators on socio-cultural inclusiveness. | |
| Connection with SDGs | Good health and wellbeSustainable cities and of | o |