19.2 Inclusion of different social groups in NBS projects

Project Name: UNaLab (Grant Agreement no. 730052)

Author/s and affiliations: Laura Wendling¹, Ville Rinta-Hiiro¹, Maria Dubovik¹, Arto Laikari¹, Johannes Jermakka¹, Zarrin Fatima¹, Malin zu-Castell Rüdenhausen¹, Peter Roebeling², Ricardo Martins², Rita Mendonça²

¹ VTT Technical Research Centre Ltd, P.O. Box 1000 FI-02044 VTT, Finland

² CESAM – Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Participation of vulnerable or traditionally under-represented groups		Participatory Planning and Governance Social Justice and Social Cohesion
Description and justification	in society vary somew groups can be conside and/or under-represed Women and girls Children Refugees Internally displaced pe Stateless persons National minorities Indigenous peoples Migrant workers Disabled persons Elderly persons Elderly persons HIV positive persons a Roma/Gypsies/Sinti Lesbian, gay, bisexua gendered people (LGE Particular effort is nec receive equal represed involved in NBS project and/or under-represed	and those suffering from AIDS
Definition	increased participation	l capital. ne NBS project has led to the n by groups of people who are esented in the society.

Strengths and weaknesses	 + The indicator gives useful data for reducing inequalities + Easy to use - May not provide a holistic assessment 	
Measurement procedure and tool	 The participation of vulnerable or traditionally underrepresented groups in NBS projects or specific NBS project activities can be qualitatively assessed using a five-point Likert scale: Not at all - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - Excellent Not at all - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - Excellent Not at all: the project has not increased participation of groups not well represented in society. Poor: the project has achieved little when it comes to participation of groups not well represented in society. Fair: the project has somewhat increased the participation of groups not well represented in society. Good: the project has significantly increased the participation of groups not well represented in society. Excellent: Participation of groups not well represented in society. Information used to evaluate the performance of a particular NBS project with regard to the participation of vulnerable or traditionally under-represented groups can be obtained from project documentation and/or interviews with the project leaders and stakeholders (including representatives of the groups targeted). 	
Scale of measurement	District to metropolitan scale	
Data source		
Required data	Information used to evaluate the performance of a particular NBS project with regard to the participation of vulnerable or traditionally under-represented groups can be obtained from project documentation and/or interviews with the project leaders and stakeholders (including representatives of the groups targeted).	
Data input type	Qualitative	
Data collection frequency	Before and after implementation of the NBS project	

Level of expertise required	Moderate	
Synergies with other indicators	Synergies with indicator group <i>Participatory Planning and Governance</i> indicators	
Connection with SDGs	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	
Opportunities for participatory data collection	No opportunities identified	
Additional information		
References	 Bosch, P., Jongeneel, S., Rovers, V., Neumann, HM., Airaksinen, M., & Huovila, A. (2017). CITYkeys indicators for smart city projects and smart cities. CITYkeys D1.4. Retrieved from <u>http://nws.eurocities.eu/MediaShell/media/CITYkeys</u> D14Indicatorsforsmartcityprojectsandsmartcities.pdf 	