19 RECOMMENDED INDICATORS OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND SOCIAL COHESION

19.1 Bridging and bonding – quality of interactions within and between social groups

19.1.1 Bridging

Project Name: CONNECTING Nature (Grant Agreement no. 730222)

Author/s and affiliations: Adina Dumitru¹, Catalina Young², Irina Macsinga²

Bridging Social Capital

Social Justice and Social Cohesion

Description and justification

Social capital is largely conceived in terms of the nature, extent, and outcomes of networks and associated norms of reciprocity, thus generally seen as a contributor to individual and group (community, nation) growth, well-being, and progress (Szreter & Woolcock, 2004). Social capital enables individuals to gain access to resources (ideas, information, money, services, and favours) and to have accurate expectations regarding the behaviour of others by virtue of their participation in relationships that are themselves the product of networks of association (Claridge, 2018; Szreter & Woolcock, 2004). Data on bridging social capital (BrSC) can provide an indication of associations between groups, communities, or organisations that link people across a cleavage that typically divides society (like race, class, or religion) (Claridge, 2018). These connections of respect and mutuality function as a social lubricant leading to an increased ability to gather information, ability to gain access to power or better placement within the network, or ability to better recognize new opportunities (Claridge, 2018).

Nature-based solutions (NBS) have been linked to the notion of environmental justice across studies that explore the role of supporting urban processes involving equal access to neighborhood green space in fostering social cohesion (e.g., bridging social capital) towards the cultural integration of typically-excluded social groups, like elderly, immigrants, persons with disabilities, etc. (i.e., recognition-based justice) (Ibes, 2015; Kweon, Sullivan & Wiley, 1998; Raymond et al., 2017; Raymond, Gottwald, Kuoppa & Kyttä, 2016; van Den Berg et al., 2017). BrSc's beneficial impact on collective initiatives like NBS can be far-reaching, as it allows different groups to share and exchange information, ideas and innovation and builds consensus among the groups representing otherwise diverse interests.

Definition

Social relationships of exchange, often of associations between people with shared interests or goals but contrasting social identity (socio-demographics); BrSC is essentially the result of networking outside normal social groupings (Claridge, 2018; Szreter & Woolcock, 2004).

¹ Universitry of A Coruña, Spain

² West University of Timisoara, Romania

Strengths and + reliable indicator of resources that encourage reciprocity and weaknesses collaboration between groups/communities/organisations + mostly inclusive, fosters tolerance and acceptances of different people, values, and beliefs through contact with diverse others (Claridge, 2018; Szreter & Woolcock, 2004) + Putnam saw it as a resource that helps one "get ahead" (as quoted in Claridge, 2018), facilitates swifter recognition of new opportunities, and promotes social change, innovation and consensus among groups/communities/organisations + can improve economic development, growth, and employment (Claridge, 2018) - may enable collusion, price fixing, or corruption (Claridge, 2018; Szreter & Woolcock, 2004) +/- general agreement as to the importance of a balance of bonding (see SC1) and bridging social capital, in that neither is negative per se but can be negative depending on the balance and context. The precise nature of the social identity boundaries, and the political salience of bonding and bridging groups are highly context specific (Claridge, 2018; Szreter & Woolcock, 2004). Measurement ☑ P: Scale inventory/Questionnaire (survey procedure, paper-andprocedure (P) pencil administration, computer-based administration) and tool (T) ☑ T: Scale consisting of 2 items measuring the presence of BrSC type of connections, and respondent's perception of quality of interactions within BrSC type of connections (Anucha et al., 2006 item 1 adapted to purposes of current study; item 2 formulated for the purposes of current study) Scale of 1. Thinking about people you interact with ... (e.g., in your community measurement garden, in your local park), are most of them of ...mixed occupations (coded as [1] yes or [0] no), ...mixed religion (coded as [1]yes or [0]no), ...mixed ethnic or linguistic group/race/caste/tribe (coded as [1]yes or [0]no), ...mixed educational backgrounds or levels (coded as [1] yes or [0] ...and/or mixed income levels (coded as [1] yes or [0] no)? 2. Thinking about these same people, how would you rate the quality of your interactions with them? 1 ...2....3...4...5...6...7 extremely dissatisfied (1)... extremely satisfied (7) Data source Required data Essential: NBS characteristics for each city/site, more specifically objectives (short-, medium-, and long-term) and challenges Quantitative (quantitative and qualitative, if participatory data Data input collection is opted for) type Data Before and after NBS implementation, then aligned with timing of collection targeted objectives.

frequency

Level of expertise required	 Methodology and data analysis requires high expertise in psychosocial research Quantitative data collection requires no expertise Basic training needed if participatory data collection is opted for
Synergies with other indicators	SC1. Bonding social capital SC3. Linking social capital SC4.1 Trust in community SC4.2 Solidarity between neighbours SC4.3 Tolerance and respect SC5.1 Perceived safety SC5.2 Actual/real safety SC6 Place attachment (Sense of place): Place Identity SC9 Empowerment: Perceived control and influence over NBS decision-making SC12 Social desirability
Connection with SDGs	Goal 1. End poverty in all its forms everywhere Goal 2. End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture Goal 3. Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages Goal 5. Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls Goal 8. Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all Goal 9. Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation Goal 10. Reduce inequality within and among countries Goal 11. Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable Goal 12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns Goal 13. Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts Goal 16. Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels Goal 17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development
Opportunities for participatory data collection	Participatory methods (e.g., collaborative participatory data collection) may be applied to garner community-relevant information on BrSC's role in NBS implementation and expansion.
Additional information	
References	Anucha, U., Diamini, N.S., Yan, M.C., & Smylie, L. (2006). Social capital and the welfare of immigrant women: A multi-level study of four ethnic communities in Windsor. Status of Women Canada's Policy Research Fund. Retrieved from http://www.researchgate.net Claridge, T. (2018). Functions of social capital – bonding, bridging, linking. Social
	Capital Research, 1-7. Retrieved from

- https://d1fs2th61pidml.cloudfront.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Functions-of-Social-Capital.pdf?x96784
- Fonseca, X., Lukosch, S., & Brazier, F. (2018). Social cohesion revisited: a new definition and how to characterize it, Innovation. *The European Journal of Social Science Research*. doi: 10.1080/13511610.2018.1497480
- Granovetter, M.S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *The American Journal of Sociology*. 78(6), 1360-1380. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org
- Ibes, D.C. (2015). A multi-dimensional classification and equity analysis of an urban park system: A novel methodology and case study application. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 137, 122–137. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.12.014
- Kweon, B. S., Sullivan, W. C., & Wiley, A. R. (1998). Green common spaces and the social integration of inner-city older adults. *Environment and Behavior*, 30, 832858. doi:10.1177/001391659803000605
- Raymond, C.M., Berry, P., Breil, M., Nita, M.R., Kabisch, N., de Bel, M., Enzi, V., Frantzeskaki, N., Geneletti, D., Cardinaletti, M., Lovinger, L., Basnou, C., Monteiro, A., Robrecht, H., Sgrigna, G., Munari, L., & Calfapietra, C. (2017). An Impact Evaluation Framework to Support Planning and Evaluation of Nature-based Solutions Projects. Report prepared by the EKLIPSE Expert Working Group on Nature-based Solutions to Promote Climate Resilience in Urban Areas. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, United Kingdom. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.18682.08643
- Raymond, C.M., Gottwald, S., Kuoppa, J., & Kyttä, M. (2016). Integrating multiple elements of environmental justice into urban blue space planning using public participation geographic information systems. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 153, 198-208. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.05.005
- Szreter, S., & Woolcock, M. (2004). Health by association? Social capital, social theory, and the political economy of public health. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 33(4), 650–667.
- Van den Berg, M., van Poppel, M., van Kamp, I., Ruijsbroek, A., Triguero-Mas, M., Gidlow, C., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Gražulevičiene, R., van Mechelen, W., Kruize, H., & Maas, J. (2017.) Do physical activity, social cohesion, and loneliness mediate the association between time spent visiting green space and mental health. *Environment and Behavior*, 00(0), 1–23. doi: 10.1177/0013916517738563