## 18.5 Consciousness of citizenship

Project Name: UNaLab (Grant Agreement no. 730052)

**Author/s and affiliations:** Laura Wendling<sup>1</sup>, Ville Rinta-Hiiro<sup>1</sup>, Maria Dubovik<sup>1</sup>, Arto Laikari<sup>1</sup>, Johannes Jermakka<sup>1</sup>, Zarrin Fatima<sup>1</sup>, Malin zu-Castell Rüdenhausen<sup>1</sup>, Peter Roebeling<sup>2</sup>, Ricardo Martins<sup>2</sup>, Rita Mendonça<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> VTT Technical Research Centre Ltd, P.O. Box 1000 FI-02044 VTT, Finland

<sup>2</sup> CESAM – Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Consciousness of citizenship		Participatory Planning and Governance Social Justice and Social Cohesion
Description and justification	<ul> <li>Cohesion</li> <li>Consciousness of citizenship can be described as an individual's awareness of their community, civic rights and responsibilities and their relationship with the community, state or nation. An individual with consciousness of citizenship is aware of how the community functions and their respective role in the community. As such, consciousness of citizenship contributes to a sense of community. According to Ng (2015), civic consciousness includes the following elements: <ul> <li>Personal identity and citizenship: characteristics such as personal awareness, pride, obedience to the law, and a sense of equality</li> <li>National identity: respect for national authorities, belief in the legitimacy of the current political system, sense of the nation as a cohesive whole</li> <li>Moral consciousness: upholding family and social normative values in public and in private, willingness to promote public welfare</li> <li>Ecological consciousness: awareness of the finite nature of natural resources, consideration of the environmental consequences of personal actions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Definition		e NBS project has contributed in ss of citizenship (qualitative,

Strengths and weaknesses	<ul> <li>+ The indicator gives useful data for urban planning but the data collecting and evaluation might be challenging</li> <li>- May not provide the holistic picture</li> </ul>	
Measurement procedure and tool	<ul> <li>The extent to which an NBS project seeks to contribute to the local consciousness of citizenship can be qualitatively rated on a five-point Likert scale, from no effort to substantial effort:</li> <li>No increase - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - High increase</li> <li>1. None: The NBS project has made no effort to increase civic consciousness.</li> <li>2. Little: The NBS project has made a small effort to increase civic consciousness.</li> <li>3. Somewhat: The NBS project has developed some initiatives to increase civic consciousness.</li> <li>4. Significant: The NBS project has executed several activities to increase civic consciousness</li> <li>5. High: increasing civic consciousness was (one of) the main goals of the NBS project and substantial effort has been made to enhance civic consciousness.</li> </ul>	
Scale of measurement	District to metropolitan scale	
Data source		
Required data	Project documentation and/or interviews during the NBS project	
Data input type	Qualitative	
Data collection frequency	Before and after implementation of the NBS project	
Level of expertise required	Moderate	
Synergies with other indicators	Synergies with indicator group <i>Participatory Planning and Governance</i> indicators	
Connection with SDGs	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities, SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production, and SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	
Opportunities for participatory data collection	No opportunities identified	
Additional information		
References	Bosch, P., Jongeneel, S., Rovers, V., Neumann, HM., Airaksinen, M., & Huovila, A. (2017). CITYkeys indicators for smart city projects and smart cities. CITYkeys D1.4.	

http://nws.eurocities.eu/MediaShell/media/ CITYkeysD14Indicatorsforsmartcityprojectsandsmartcities.pdf

Ng, J.A.I. (2015). Scale on Civic Consciousness (SCC) for the National Service Training Program. International Journal of Humanities and Management Sciences, 3(3), 161-165.

## 18.6 Number of governance innovations adopted

Project Name: CONNECTING Nature (Grant Agreement no. 730222)

Author/s and affiliations: Katharina Hölscher<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dutch Research Institute for Transitions (DRIFT), Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Governance innovation		Participatory Planning and
		Governance
Description and justification	solutions requires partii (Frantzeskaki et al. 2020; et al. 2017). Participatory support of the nature-bas changing functional des engagement of a large va creating economic insur resources can be activated For these reasons, particip creation and co-manage advocated (European Com et al. (2018) show how contribute to urban gr- implementation, for exam volunteers or designing a show a large diversit collaborations and differen discourses and practices, that can facilitate a co formalised strategic app approaches that correspo- informal efforts of local co Generally speaking, partic processes that empower decision-making. Around t governments are experime seek to expand the sp participation in governance	ipatory governance is embodied in citizens to participate in public he world, a growing number of local enting with innovative practices that ace and mechanisms for citizen e processes beyond elections. anisms for participatory governance