

- Zimmerman, M. A. (1990b). Toward a theory of learned hopefulness: A structural model analysis of participation and empowerment. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 24, 71-86.
- Zimmerman, M.A. (1995). Psychological empowerment: Issues and illustrations. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 23, 581-599.
- Westphal, L. M. (2003). Social aspects of urban forestry: Urban greening and social benefits: A study of empowerment outcomes. *Journal of Arboriculture* 29 (3): 137-147, 29(3). Retrieved from https://www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/jrnl/2003/nc_2003_westphal_001.pdf
- Woodall, J.R., Warwick-Booth, L., & Cross, R. (2012). Has empowerment lost its power? *Health Education Research*, 27(4), 742–745. doi: 10.1093/her/cys064

17.3 Public-private partnerships activated

Project Name: PHUSICOS (Grant Agreement no. 776681)

Author/s and affiliations: Gerardo Caroppi^{1,2}, Carlo Gerundo², Francesco Pugliese², Maurizio Giugni², Marialuce Stanganelli², Farrokh Nadim³, Amy Oen³

¹ Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland (gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi)

² University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

³ Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Adoption of new forms of governance: Public-Private Partnership Activated	Participatory Planning and Governance
Description and justification	The level of cooperation between public and private sector in the Design Scenarios implementation should be taken into account in order to assess the quality of participation process. It should be estimated counting the number of partnership activated between public and private agencies.
Definition	The Indicator can be defined as the number of public-private partnerships activated in order to achieve the implementation of the Design Scenario. This Indicator will be equal to 0 in the Baseline Scenario and will be assessed in the Design Scenario computing the number of stakeholder taking part to the participatory process. In the Long-term scenario the indicators should be calculated considering data made available some years after NBS/Grey/Hybrid solutions have been implemented.

Strengths and weaknesses	Data mining could be time-consuming.
Measurement procedure and tool	The Indicator will be equal to the whole number of Public-Private Partnership activated in order to achieve the implementation of the Design Scenario
Scale of measurement	No.
Data source	Municipalities and other public agencies
Required data	Public-Private Partnerships data
Data input type	Documents
Data collection frequency	
Level of expertise required	Medium
Synergies with other indicators	
Connection with SDGs	16, 17
Opportunities for participatory data collection	
Additional information	
References	

17.4 Policy learning for mainstreaming NBS

Project Name: PHUSICOS (Grant Agreement no. 776681)

Author/s and affiliations: Gerardo Caroppi^{1,2}, Carlo Gerundo², Francesco Pugliese², Maurizio Giugni², Marialuce Stanganelli², Farrokh Nadim³, Amy Oen³

¹ Aalto University, Department of Built Environment, Espoo, Finland (gerardo.caroppi@aalto.fi)

² University of Naples Federico II (UNINA), Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, Naples, Italy

³ Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway

Policies Set Up To Promote NBS	Participatory Planning and Governance
Description and justification	The level of involvement of public authorities in the Design Scenarios implementation should be taken into account in order to evaluate the quality of participation