## 14.18 Historical and cultural meaning

**Project Name:** Naturvation (Grant Agreement no. 730243) **Author/s and affiliations:** Anja Werner<sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Reich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IfL – Leibniz Institute for Regional Geography, Leipzig, Germany

Historical and Cultural Meaning		Place Regeneration
Description and justification	The indicator describes the benefits of historical and cultural aspects to citizens of urban green space such as NBS. The benefits cover aspects of artistic expressions such as graffiti, arts and murals, written, drawn or painted as forms of communication (either from past times or present). Through stories of the users of NBS might dignify the historical understanding of transformation and development (1). Also, diverse elements generate thoughts about symbols and metaphors existing between one's life and nature as well as places of identity, memory and belonging (2). Cultural heritage can be seen as the intermingling of past and present practices and represents thus bridges between different periods, cultures, localities and the natural environment. Cultural heritage and diversity enrich human life with meaning and emotions, enhance the quality of the lives of citizens and is a precious and irreplaceable resource (3). Cultural assets might have a little monetary value but an immense culture significance to the local community (4).	
Definition	The indicator describes the ber cultural aspects to citizens of u NBS.	
Strengths and weaknesses		
Measurement procedure and tool	The indicator is measured through assets and symbols/elements (stickers etc.) or qualitatively with impressions or feelings of users. The values used for the scoring and Cultural meaning were bas 3), modelling studies (2) and line	e.g., graffiti, arts, murals, hen measuring the s of nature in cities. of the indicator Historical ed on empirical data (1, 2,
Scale of measurement		
Data source		
Required data	Qualitative and quantitative	
Data input type	Qualitative assessment covers behavioural observations (1, 3) consultation meetings and wor variety of interview methods (6)	), questionnaires, kshops (3) as well as a

	interviews) (1, 3, 4). Quantitative approaches include site surveys (3, 4) and geographical data (i.e., digital elevation model, DEM, GIS data, (historic & current) land use) (4).	
Data collection frequency		
Level of expertise required		
Synergies with other indicators		
Connection with SDGs	<i>SDGs:</i> 11	
Opportunities for participatory data collection		
Additional information		
References	Auyeung, D.N., Campbell, L.K., Johnson, M., Sonti, N.F. and Svendsen, E. (2016) Reading the landscape: citywide social assessment of New York City parks and natural areas in 2013-2014.	
	Bengtsson, A. and Grahn, P. (2014) Outdoor environments in healthcare settings: A quality evaluation tool for use in designing healthcare gardens. Urban forestry & urban greening, 13(4), pp.878-891.	
	Rostami, R., Lamit, H., Khoshnava, S.M., Rostami, R. and Rosley, M.S.F. (2015) Sustainable cities and the contribution of historical urban green spaces: A case study of historical Persian gardens. Sustainability, 7(10), pp.13290-13316.	
	Vojinovic, Z., Keerakamolchai, W., Weesakul, S., Pudar, R.S., Medina, N. and Alves, A. (2016) Combining ecosystem services with cost-benefit analysis for selection of green and grey infrastructure for flood protection in a cultural setting. Environments, 4(1), p.3.	