

[/02/The%20Social%20Impact%20of%20Housing%20Providers%20Report2013.pdf](#)

Hamelmann C, Turatto F, Then V, Dyakova M. Social return on investment: accounting for value in the context of implementing Health 2020 and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2017 (Investment for Health and Development Discussion Paper)

Liket, K.C.; Rey-Garcia, M.; Maas, K.E. (2014) "Why aren't evaluations working and what to do about it: A framework for negotiating meaningful evaluation in nonprofits. *Am. J. Eval.* 35, 171–188

Maybe, J. (2015) "Useful Theory of Change Models" *Canadian Jnl of Prgm Eval.* 30(2) 119-142.

Nicholls J, Lawlor E, Neitzert E, Goodspeed T. (2012) "A guide to social return on investment". 2nd ed. London: The Cabinet Office; 2012. https://ccednet-rcdec.ca/sites/ccednet-rcdec.ca/files/a_guide_to_social_return_on_investment_revised.pdf. Accessed 25 Aug 2020

Ruff, K. and Olsen, S. (2019) "The Next Frontier in Social Impact Measurement Isn't Measurement at All" *Stanford Soc. Innov. Rvw*, Online 10 May 2019 https://ssir.org/articles/entry/next_frontier_in_social_impact_measurement#

Solorzano-Garcia, M., Navio-Marco, J., and Ruiz-Gomez, L. M. (2019) "Ambiguity in the Attribution of Social Impact: A Study of the Difficulties of Calculating Filter Coefficients in the SROI Method". *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 2 (2019): 386-405

Totter, L., Vine, J., Leach, M. and Fujiwara, D. (2014) "Measuring the Social Impact of Community Investment: A Guide to using the Wellbeing Valuation Approach" UK: HACT <https://www.hact.org.uk/sites/default/files/uploads/Archives/2014/3/MeasuringSocialImpactHACT2014.pdf?sid=9120>

14.11 Population mobility

Project Name: proGIreg (Grant Agreement no. 776528)

Author/s and affiliations: Elizabeth Gil-Roldán¹

¹ *Starlab Barcelona SL, Barcelona, Spain*

Population mobility	Place Regeneration New Economic Opportunities and Green Jobs
Description and justification	One of the aims of T4.4 is to look at how gentrification can be happening in the cities where the NBS will be implemented through proxy indicators. The quantification of gentrification is a very lively subject of scientific research at the moment and is out of the scope of the proGIreg project. However, it will be possible to extract several lines of intuition on what's happening with the population in the NBS implementation areas in terms of mobility between rented/owned property, frequency of moving and the reason for moving.

Definition	For the purpose of this project we will consider population mobility to be: The % of people whose last move was in the past 1 year, 2 years and 5 years.
Strengths and weaknesses	
Measurement procedure and tool	In the GQ we ask respondents to tell us when was the last time that they moved (Q51) and the reason for moving (Q52). To 51 they will answer with the year.
Scale of measurement	Same as GQ. NBS implementation district (300 respondents) and control district (300 respondents)
Data source	
Required data	Answers to GQ
Data input type	Respondent answer year of last move
Data collection frequency	Twice in life of project: before implementation (pre-GQ) and after implementation (post GQ)
Level of expertise required	That of the interviewers conducting the GQ. Computation of final indicator is simple and will be done by T4.4 leaders.
Synergies with other indicators	Connected to other economic and labour indicators
Connection with SDGs	Goal 8: Decent work and economic growth
Opportunities for participatory data collection	None
Additional information	
References	