Data collection frequency	Annually			
Level of expertise required	Low			
Synergies with other indicators	Partly related to Reclamation of contaminated land indicator			
Connection with SDGs	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities, SDG 13 Climate action, SDG 15 Life on land			
Opportunities for participatory data collection	No opportunities identified			
Additional information				
References	Chan, L., Hillel, O., Elmqvist, T., Werner, P., Holman, N., Mader, A., & Calcaterra, E. (2014). User's Manual on the Singapore Index on Cities' Biodiversity (also known as the City Biodiversity Index). Singapore: National Parks Board, Singapore.			

10.2 Area of habitats restored

Project Name: CONNECTING Nature (Grant Agreement no. 730222)

Author/s and affiliations: Stuart Connop

Sustainability Research Institute, University of East London, UK

Area of habitats restored		Biodiversity		
Description and justification	When NBS delivery is associated with the restoration of target habitats (e.g., Article 17 habitats, national priority habitats, or local priority habitats), quantification of the extent of restored habitats can function as an indicator of success.			
Definition	Extent of habitat as a proportion of t specific habitat type (e.g., propor restored to wildflower meadow.	total area, or total area of a tion of amenity grassland		
Strengths and weaknesses	A simple and effective measure of hat be updated regularly and combined surveys to be sure that habitat conserved	abitat change, but this must with condition assessment restoration is successfully		

Measurement procedure and tool	This indicator uses standard terrestrial habitat mapping approaches (EEA 2014) to quantify changes in habitat area. In urban areas, where habitat parcels are smaller, higher- resolution data and or ground-truthing may be necessary to establish spatial extent.
Scale of measurement	Measurement is typically carried out over a city or regional scale. Smaller scales (e.g., site scales can also be relevant)
Data source	
Required data	Typically, aerial photo and/or satellite data is used as a interrogation layer in GIS with landcover data as a background map. Data on extent of target restoration habitat areas can also be required if such interpretation is not straightforward from aerial images.
Data input type	Spatial & Quantitative
Data collection frequency	Typically, annual, but can be less frequent if resources are stretched.
Level of expertise required	Expertise is typically required either for habitat identification or interrogation of satellite imagery. This requirement can be reduced if low resolution land cover maps are used for calculations.
Synergies with other indicators	Synergies with other greenspace mapping indicators and protected habitats and species indicators.
Connection with SDGs	Strongest link to SDGs 14 & 15. However there are links to all SDGs except 1 and 5: Biodiversity underpins food production; Links between biodiversity and health & wellbeing benefits; Links to environmental education; Links between biodiversity and water quality; Links between biodiversity and clean energy (biosolar, biofuel); Job creation; Improved green infrastructure and industry associated with biodiversity (potential disservices also); Social equality in relation to access to nature; Sustainable urban development; Biodiversity a good indicator of responsible consumption; Climate change adaptation; More sustainable water management; Biodiversity benefits; Environmental Justice in relation to biodiversity; Opportunities for collaborative working.
Opportunities for participatory data collection	Surveying habitats represents an excellent opportunity for widening participation, this includes survey of habitat condition change over time. Alternatively, participatory GIS portals can be used to ground-truth satellite imagery.
Additional info	rmation

References	EEA (2014)	Terrestrial	habitat map	ping in Europe:	an ov	verview: Joint
	MNHN	EEA	Technical	report	No	1/2014:
	https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/terrestrial-habitat-					<u>nabitat-</u>
	mappir	ng-in-europ	pe/at_downloa	ad/file		

10.3 Shannon Diversity Index of habitats

Project Name: Nature4Cities (Grant agreement: No. 730468)

Author/s and affiliations: Flora Szkordilisz¹, Federico Silvestri², Barnabás Körmöndi¹

¹ Hungarian Urban Knowledge Center, Budapest, Hungary

² Colouree, Genova, Italy

Shannon Diversity	Index of Habitats	Green Space Management Biodiversity		
Description and justification	This indicator is defined as the simple ratio of the natural areas (An) per the total area (Ac). The objective is to determine if the NBS solution increases or maintains the proportion of areas supporting biodiversity in the city or neighbourhood.			
Definition	Indicates the proportion of bare turf and sparse vegetation, grassland and herbs, shrubs, trees and of built environment to the total area.			
Strengths and weaknesses	+ standardizable, which makes the comparison with other cities easier			
Measurement procedure and tool	- spreadsheet methods - or GIS-based models (sp - calculation method: $D = -\sum_{i=1}^{5} (p_i \log_2 p_i)$ Where pi corresponds to the kind of habitat	patial resolution of 1 meter) $p_i)$ he proportion of each of the five		
Scale of measurement	Object and neighbourhood scale			
Data source				
Required data	- Proportion of each class of habitat			
Data input type	quantitative			
Data collection frequency	Before and after the NBS implementation			